

# A class of bistochastic positive optimal maps in $M_d(\mathbb{C})$

Adam Rutkowski<sup>1,2</sup>, Gniewomir Sarbicki<sup>3</sup>, and Dariusz Chruściński<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics,  
University of Gdańsk, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Quantum Information Centre of Gdańsk, 81-824 Sopot, Poland

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Physics, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics,  
Nicolaus Copernicus University, Grudziadzka 5, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

## Abstract

We provide a straightforward generalization of a positive map in  $M_3(\mathbb{C})$  considered recently by Miller and Olkiewicz [5]. It is proved that these maps are optimal and indecomposable. As a byproduct we provide a class of PPT entangled states in  $d \otimes d$ .

Positive maps in matrix algebras play important role both in mathematics and theoretical physics [1, 2, 3, 4]. In the recent paper [5] paper Miller and Olkiewicz considered a linear map  $\Lambda_3 : M_3(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_3(\mathbb{C})$  ( $M_d(\mathbb{C})$  denotes a matrix algebra of  $d \times d$  complex matrices) defined as follows

$$\Lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(a_{11} + a_{22}) & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_{13} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(a_{11} + a_{22}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_{32} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_{31} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_{23} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

It was proved [5] that  $\Lambda_3$  is a bistochastic positive extremal (even exposed) non-decomposable map. In this paper we provide the following generalization  $\Lambda_d : M_d(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\Lambda_d(A) = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_{ii} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{d-1}a_{1d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_{ii} & 0 & \sqrt{d-1}a_{d-2,d} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_{ii} & \sqrt{d-1}a_{d,d-1} \\ \sqrt{d-1}a_{d1} & \cdots & \sqrt{d-1}a_{d,d-2} & \sqrt{d-1}a_{d-1,d} & (d-1)a_{dd} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $\Lambda_d$  is a positive map.

Proof: let  $y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$  and  $P_i = |i\rangle\langle i|$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ . One has

$$\Lambda_d(yy^\dagger) = \frac{1}{d-1} \left( \frac{\|x\|^2 \mathbb{I}_{d-1}}{\sqrt{d-1} \left( x_d P_1 \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \bar{x}_d P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right)^\dagger} \middle| \frac{\sqrt{d-1} \left( x_d P_1 \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \bar{x}_d P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right)}{(d-1) |x_d|^2} \right).$$

Now we use the well known result [2]: a block matrix

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline B^\dagger & C \end{array} \right),$$

with  $C > 0$  is positive iff

$$A \geq BC^{-1}B^\dagger. \quad (3)$$

Hence, to prove that  $\Lambda_d(yy^\dagger) \geq 0$  it is necessary and sufficient to show that

$$|x_d|^2 \|x\|^2 \mathbb{I}_{d-1} - \left( x_d P_1 \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \bar{x}_d P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right) \left( x_d P_1 \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \bar{x}_d P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right)^\dagger \geq 0.$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( x_d P_1 \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \bar{x}_d P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right) \left( x_d P_1 \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \bar{x}_d P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right)^\dagger \leq \left\| \left( x_d P_1 \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \bar{x}_d P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right) \right\|^2 \mathbb{I}_{d-1} \\ & = |x_d|^2 \left( \|P_1 \mathbf{x}\|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \|P_i \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 \right) \mathbb{I}_{d-1} = |x_d|^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \mathbb{I}_{d-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** It is very easy to check that  $\Lambda_d$  is unital and trace-preserving and hence it defines a positive bistochastic map.

**Proposition 2.**  $\Lambda_d$  is nondecomposable.

Proof: to prove it we construct a PPT state  $\rho_{\text{PPT}}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(W_d \rho) < 0$ , where  $W_d = (\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda_d) P_d^+$  denotes the corresponding entanglement witness ([6] and the recent review [4]). Let us define

$$\rho = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} \sqrt{d-2}e_{11} + e_{dd} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -e_{1d} \\ \hline 0 & \sqrt{d-2}e_{22} + e_{dd} & \cdots & 0 & -e_{2d} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{d-2}e_{d-1,d-1} + e_{dd} & -e_{d-1,d}^T \\ \hline -e_{d1} & -e_{d2} & \cdots & -e_{d,d-1}^T & \mathbb{I} - (1 - \sqrt{d-2})e_{dd} \end{array} \right),$$

where  $e_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$ . Let us observe that  $\rho \geq 0$  iff the following  $d-1 \times d-1$  submatrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{d-2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{d-2} & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{d-2} & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & \sqrt{d-2} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

which is the case due to the fact that its eigenvalues read:  $\{\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = \sqrt{d-2}, \lambda_3 = 2\sqrt{d-2}\}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_3$  are simple and  $\lambda_2$  has multiplicity  $d-3$ . Consider now the partial transposed

$$\rho^\Gamma = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} \sqrt{d-2}e_{11} + e_{dd} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -e_{d1} \\ \hline 0 & \sqrt{d-2}e_{22} + e_{dd} & \cdots & 0 & -e_{d2} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{d-2}e_{d-1,d-1} + e_{dd} & -e_{d,d-1}^T \\ \hline -e_{1d} & -e_{2d} & \cdots & -e_{d-1,d}^T & \mathbb{I} - (1 - \sqrt{d-2})e_{dd} \end{array} \right).$$

Its positivity follows from the simple observation that the following  $2 \times 2$  submatrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{d-2} & -1 \\ -1 & \sqrt{d-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

are positive. Now,

$$\text{Tr}(W_d \rho) = 2(d-1) \left( \sqrt{d-2} - \sqrt{d-1} \right) < 0,$$

which finally proves that  $\Lambda_d$  is nondecomposable.  $\square$

Now we are ready to show that a map  $\Lambda_d$  is optimal [7].

**Proposition 3.**  $\Lambda_d$  is optimal.

Proof: to prove optimality we use the following result from [7]: if the entanglement witness  $W = (\mathbb{1} \otimes \Lambda)P_d^+$  allows for a set of product vectors  $\psi_k \otimes \phi_k$  such that

$$\langle \psi_k \otimes \phi_k | W | \psi_k \otimes \phi_k \rangle = 0, \quad (6)$$

then if  $\psi_k \otimes \phi_k$  span  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  the map  $\Lambda$  is optimal. Now, take arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and define

$$W_d(x) = \text{Tr}_1(W_d \cdot |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \mathbb{I}_d). \quad (7)$$

One finds

$$W_d(x) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} zI_{d-1} & \vec{a} \\ \hline \vec{a}^\dagger & u \end{array} \right], \quad (8)$$

where

$$a_i = \sqrt{d-1} \cdot \begin{cases} x_d^* x_i & \text{for } i < d-1 \\ x_d x_i^* & \text{for } i = d-1 \end{cases},$$

$z = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |x_i|^2$  and  $u = (d-1)|x_d|^2$ . Note that  $W_d(x)$  is at least of rank  $d-1$  and hence its kernel is at most 1-dimensional. To find the corresponding zero-mode of  $W_d(x)$  we consider

$$\det W_d(x) = -(d-1)|x_d|^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |x_i|^2 \right) \cdot z^{d-2} + (d-1)|x_d|^2 z^{d-1} = 0 .$$

Observing that the last row of  $W_d(x)$  is a combination of the previous ones, we find the vector of the kernel solving the equation

$$[zI|\vec{a}] \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v} \\ w \end{bmatrix} = z\vec{v} + \vec{a}w = 0 \quad (9)$$

which implies (up to a scalar), that  $\vec{v} = \vec{a}$  and  $w = -z$ . Denoting the solution as  $y(x)$ , one gets the family  $q(x) = x \otimes y(x)$  of product vectors such that  $\langle x \otimes y(x) | W | x \otimes y(x) \rangle = 0$ . A vector from the family has the following coordinates:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} x_1 x_1 x_d^* & \dots & x_1 x_{d-2} x_d^* & x_1 x_{d-1}^* x_d & x_1 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i x_i^* \\ x_2 x_1 x_d^* & \dots & x_2 x_{d-2} x_d^* & x_2 x_{d-1}^* x_d & x_2 \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i x_i^* \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ x_d x_1 x_d^* & \dots & x_d x_{d-2} x_d^* & x_d x_{d-1}^* x_d & x_d \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i x_i^* . \end{array}$$

It remains to show that vectors  $q(x) = x \otimes y(x)$  span  $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ . Suppose that there exists a vector  $\alpha = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \alpha_{i,j} |e_i\rangle \otimes |e_j\rangle$  orthogonal to  $q(x)$  for all  $x$ , that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d-2} \alpha_{i,j}^* x_i x_j x_d^* + \alpha_{i,d-1}^* x_i x_{d-1}^* x_d + \alpha_{i,d}^* x_i \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x_i x_i^* \right) \right) = 0 .$$

We stress that in the linear space of polynomials of  $2d$  variables  $x_i$  and  $x_i^*$  are linearly independent. The monomial  $x_i x_1 x_1^*$  appears in the sum only once multiplied by the coefficient  $\alpha_{i,d}$ . Hence because different monomials are linearly independent in the space of polynomials one concludes that  $\alpha_{i,d} = 0$ . Next observe, that the monomial  $x_i x_{d-1}^* x_d$  appears only once multiplied by the coefficient  $\alpha_{i,d-1}$ . Thus one concludes that  $\alpha_{i,d-1} = 0$ . Finally, we have to prove, that the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{d-2} \alpha_{i,j}^* x_i x_j x_d^*$  is zero iff all coefficients are zero. Indeed, all the coefficients multiply the different monomials. There are no non-zero vectors orthogonal to the subspace spanned by the vectors  $q(x)$ , so these vectors span the whole Hilbert space of the system, what implies optimality of the witness.  $\square$

In conclusion we have shown how to generalize a positive map in  $M_3(\mathbb{C})$  considered in [5] to a positive map in  $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ . We have proved that this map is optimal and indecomposable. As a byproduct we provide a class of PPT entangled states in  $d \otimes d$ . It would be interesting check whether this generalized map is extremal or even exposed.

## Acknowledgements

A. Rutkowski was supported by a postdoc internship decision number DEC-2012/04/S/ST2/00002, from the Polish National Science Center. D. Chruściński and G. Sarbicki were partially supported by the National Science Center project DEC-2011/03/B/ST2/00136.

## References

- [1] V. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2003.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press 2006.
- [3] E. Størmer, *Positive Linear Maps of Operator Algebras*, (Berlin: Springer) 2013.
- [4] D. Chruściński and G. Sarbicki, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 483001 (2014).
- [5] M. Miller and R. Olkiewicz, *Stable subspaces of positive maps of matrix algebras*, arXiv:1412.7469 (to appear in OSID).
- [6] B. Terhal, Phys. Lett. **A** 271, 319 (2000).
- [7] M. Lewenstein, B. Kraus, J. I. Cirac, and P. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 052310 (2000).